

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

ACECO VALVES, LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Case No. CIV-21-368-D
	)	
BRAD NEAL, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**ORDER**

Before the Court is Plaintiff Aceco Valves, LLC’s Motion to Compel Neal to Respond to Discovery Requests [Doc. No. 125]. Upon review of the Motion and supporting brief, the Court finds it fails to comply with LCvR37.1, which requires that a motion relating to discovery must be accompanied by a written certification “that counsel personally have met and conferred in good faith and, after a sincere attempt to resolve differences, have been unable to reach an accord.”

Although Plaintiff includes a LCvR37.1 certification in its Motion, assertions made by Mr. Neal in his Response lead the Court to believe that the parties’ meet-and-confers lacked “a sincere attempt to resolve differences,” as required by LCvR37.1. *See* Resp. to Pl.’s Mot. to Compel [Doc. No. 130] at 6 (noting that the parties conferred “in [p]art” and that Mr. Neal was “under the impression the parties were still working through issues when Aceco filed its Motion to Compel”).

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Neal to Respond to Discovery Requests [Doc. No. 125] is **DENIED** without prejudice to refiling upon full compliance with LCvR37.1.

**IT IS SO ORDERED** this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of November, 2023.



---

TIMOTHY D. DeGIUSTI  
Chief United States District Judge